Co-location of VLBI with other techniques in space: a simulation study

B. Männel, M. Rothacher
ETH Zürich, Geodesy and Geodynamics Lab

Geodesy and Geodynamics Lab

ETH
Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

7th IGS General Meeting, Madrid 2012

Reference frame and local ties

- today TRF is defined through not very well-connected networks of SLR, GPS, VLBI and DORIS

  → offsets between different space techniques are measured by ground-based surveys at stations with two or more space techniques

  → accuracy of the TRF is limited by the accuracy of these local ties

  → precise and stable local ties will cause improvements in the realization of the TRF

- Global Geodetic Observing System (GGOS):

  → realization of the terrestrial reference frame (TRF) with 1mm accuracy and 0.1 mm/year stability

Co-location in space - concept

→ improvements in local ties and technique-specific effects

→ e.g. calibration of antennas

→ well-calibrated and designed spacecraft

- baselines between sensors are measured before satellite launch (~1mm accuracy)
- satellite positioning with 1cm accuracy (1mm using a satellite constellation)
- extremely simple spacecraft (no moving parts, no sloshing fuel, stable center of mass)

Co-location in space - concept



Co-location in space - satellites

- GPS, GLONASS, Compass/ Beidou and GIOVE/Galileo
- Jason-1, TerraSAR-X, Tandem-X, Envisat, CHAMP, GRACE, GOCE

→ co-location in space is typically used for orbit estimation and validation

Geodetic Reference Antenna in Space (GRASP)

- GNSS-antenna, SLR retro-reflector, VLBI and DORIS transmitters
- sun-synchronous orbit, altitude 1600 – 2500km
- total mission cost app. 150M$, launch date 2016

(→ Bar-Sever, 2009)

NanoGEM

- GNSS-antenna, SLR retro-reflector, VLBI transmitter
- sun-synchronous, polar orbit, altitude 700-800km
- nano-satellite → total mission cost a fraction of GRASP and possibility/goal to build up a small constellation

VLBI transmitter onboard a satellite

- curved wave front

  → delay model for curved wave front: *Sovers et. al. (1998), Sekido and Fukushima (2006)*

  → implemented in Bernese GPS Software based on the VLBI-version by Ralf Schmid

- known (a-priori) satellite position and fast moving source (satellite tracking)

- maximal length of baselines and observation time interval is defined by the orbital design

  → crucial orbital elements:

  - satellite altitude
  - inclination



Changing rate of elevation and azimuth



GPS satellites: ~0.01°/s *(Tornatore, 2010)*

slew rates	azimuth	elevation
Today	1.5-12°/s	0.7-3.1°/s
VLBI2010	>6.0°/s	>2.1°/s

Simulation of a global network

- simulation using different orbital elements
  - satellite altitude (700, 1500 and 2000km)
  - inclination (80°, 89° and 107°)
- global observing network with 17 existing or maybe future stations (*Wresnik et. al. 2009*)
- parameter estimation during data processing
  - troposphere zenith delay and gradients and
  - station coordinates
  - orbital elements

Simulation of a global network



Number of observations



→ one day of observations,
integration time per observation 30 seconds

Number of observations

- min. elevation angle set to 5°
- satellite altitude 2000 km



Number of observations



Number of observations



RMS of station coordinates




coordi
NYALES20
WETTZELL
TIGOCENC
HOBART12
0° 3° 5° 10°
RMS [m]
HEIGHT
LATITUDE
LONGITUDE
GILCREEK
WESTFORD
KOKEE
TAHITI
NYALES20
ONSALA60
WETTZELL
MAS1
FORTLEZA
TIGOCONC
HARTROA
KERG
BADARY
TSUKUB32
BANGALORE
KWJ1
HOBART12
General Meeting, Ma

RMS of station coordinates

- scaled to RMS obtained by the simulation using the orbit with 2000 km altitude









RMS of station coordinates

- scaled to RMS obtained by the simulation using the orbit with 2000 km altitude





→ slow increase (or decrease) of RMS for stations with shorter baselines → regional networks are a good option for observing satellites with lower altitude

Consequences and outlook

- co-location in space allows the estimation of local ties and will improve the realization of the TRF
- VLBI observations
  - fast moving satellites -> high antenna slew rates necessary
  - the satellite altitude is crucial for the number of observations, but also baseline length and station latitude is important
  - to estimate the local ties with 1mm accuracy we recommend the use of baselines shorter then 2000km
- next steps
  - combination of GPS, SLR and VLBI → some further simulations and maybe once using real satellite transmitted VLBI data to improve the local ties

Benjamin Männel
ETH Zurich
maennelb@ethz.ch

Thank You for listening

References:

Y. Bar-Sever et. al. (2009) The Geodetic Reference Antenna in Space (GRASP) Mission Concept. EGU meeting in Vienna 2009

M. Sekido and T. Fukushima (2006): A VLBI delay model for radio sources at a finite distance, J. Geod, 80:137-149

O. Sovers et. al. (1998): Astronometry and geodesy with radio interferometry: experiments, models, results, Review of Modern Physics, 70:1393

V. Tornatore (2010): Planning of an Experiment for VLBI Tracking of GNSS Satellites, IVS 2010 General Meeting Proceedings, p. 70-74

J. Wresnik et. al. (2009): VLBI2010 simulations at IGG Vienna, Proceedings of the 19th European VLBI for Geodesy and Astronometry Working Meeting

GEODESY AND GEODYNAMICS LAB