

# **RDV Processing Using Fourfit**

David Gordon<sup>1</sup>, Roger Cappallo<sup>2</sup>, Mike Titus<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NVI, Inc./NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA <sup>2</sup> MIT Haystack Observatory, Westford, MA, USA





## Introduction

VLBA geodetic/astrometric sessions have been processed using the NRAO AIPS package since 1995. These include the RDV and earlier geodesy sessions, the VLBA Calibrator sessions, and the K/Q astrometry sessions. AIPS was used very successfully for these. For example, the use of 168 AIPS-processed sessions in ICRF2 resulted in a much lower noise floor and accounts for ~2/3 of the ICRF2 sources.

The VLBA used a hardware correlator from 1994 until late 2009, when it began using the *difx* software correlator. In 2011, updates to *difx* allowed the VLBA *difx* output to be processed through the Mark4 path, using program *difx2mark4* to convert it into Mark4 format, and fourfit for the fringing. In comparison to AIPS fringing, fourfit has several advantages. Since it can fringe all the channels in a band coherently, it should be more sensitive by SQRT(N), where N is the number of channels, usually 4 in the RDV sessions. Also, *fourfit* is part of the Haystack HOPS package, which was designed for geodetic processing and has many useful diagnostic tools, whereas AIPS was designed for radio astronomy data processing and imaging. Another advantage is that *difx* can now extract phase cal phases which *fourfi*t can apply.

Initial attempts to *fourfit* fringe an RDV session were made at USNO and Haystack with limited success. Successful *fourfit* fringing of the RDV's was subsequently made possible by considerable debugging of various problems and software fixes to *difx2mark4* and *fourfit* made at Haystack Observatory. All six RDV sessions from 2011 have now been fringed using *fourfit* and submitted to IVS. We will continue to use this method of processing for all future RDV's. The following comparisons show the improvements to be gained by this switchover.

## **Comparison of AIPS and Fourfit** Versions

Below is a comparison of the processing statistics for 5 RDV sessions in 2011 that were

## **Delay, SNR and Baseline Length** Comparisons

Several of the RDV's were processed a second time in AIPS using a time tag file to match time tags with the *fourfit* versions. This allows easy comparisons of the observables between the two versions. For both cases, phase cals were handled the same only for the VLBA stations (measured Pcals used). Below are the WRMS delay differences for the 45 baselines between the VLBA antennas in RDV86.



Below is a comparison of computed SNR's, showing the full range and a blowup of the lower values. When the AIPS SNR and delay formal errors were first coded, some incorrect assumptions were made in order to match the computations in the Mark3 *fringe* program. The blowup shows that the AIPS fringing begins to fail for *fourfit* SNR's of ~15 and almost completely fails at *fourfit* SNR's below 10. This is one of the reasons for more good observations by *fourfit*.



processed with both AIPS and fourfit. The fourfit versions have an average of 7.5% more good observations in the *Solve* solutions. However, the *Solve* postfit residuals are larger for the *fourfit* versions, probably an indication that the delay formal errors were not properly computed (underestimated) by AIPS.

|                                  | RDV85<br>AIPS/fourfit | RDV86<br>AIPS/fourfit | RDV87<br>AIPS/fourfit | RDV88<br>AIPS/fourfit | RDV89<br>AIPS/fourfit | All<br>AIPS/fourfit |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| # Stations*                      | 15                    | 15                    | 18                    | 12                    | 16                    |                     |
| # Obs.<br>Scheduled <sup>*</sup> | 16,984                | 15,954                | 15,673                | 9,696                 | 16,419                | 74,726              |
| # Obs. in<br>Database            | 16,510/16,566         | 15,889/15,739         | 15,305/15,305         | 9411/9492             | 15,646/16,218         | 72,761/73,320       |
| # Potentially<br>Good Obs.       | 14,842/15,664         | 14,343/14,991         | 13,930/14,724         | 8401/9100             | 14,077/15,623         | 65,593/70,102       |
| # Obs. Used in Solution          | 14,347/15,163         | 13,955/14,709         | 13,301/14,183         | 7819/8485             | 13,621/15,245         | 63,043/67,785       |
| Solve Delay<br>Fit (psec)        | 22.8 / 28.2           | 25.8 / 27.6           | 36.6 / 40.4           | 38.4 /40.2            | 25.3 /27.4            |                     |
| Solve Rate<br>Fit (fsec/sec)     | 155 / 108             | 152 / 151             | 255 / 206             | 194 / 197             | 127 / 134             |                     |

\*Adjusted for missing stations

## **Comparison of Weak Sources**

In the RDV's, we regularly observe several new sources requested by members of the astronomical community and several additional sources (usually VCS sources) for which there are only a few observations, in order to improve their positions. These are generally weak sources that either have unknown VLBI positions or relatively noisy VLBI positions. Since the RDV sensitivity should be improved by using *fourfit*, we expect an increased detection ratio in the *fourfit* versions. This is indeed what is seen. The individual source statistics are too lengthy to show here, but below are the overall statistics for the 5 sessions.

| <u># sources</u> | <u># obs</u> | # AIPS detections | <u># fourfit detections</u> |
|------------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| 61 weak          | 5069         | 3049 (60.1%)      | 4305 (84.9%)                |
| 27 requested     | 2083         | 1025 (49.2%)      | 1317 (63.2%)                |

In these 5 sessions, *fourfit* was successful on 41% more observation on the weak/re-observed sources, and 28.5% more on the requested sources. Among the 27 requested sources, 2 were detected by *fourfit* but not by AIPS, and 3 were not detected by either.

Below is a plot of the baseline length differences, fourfit – AIPS, for RDV87 with formal error bars. The scatter is not unusual for single session comparisons, and some of the scatter may be due to differences in phase cal application.





#### **Acknowledgements:**

The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

This work made use of the Swinburne University of Technology software correlator, developed as part of the Australian Major National Research Facilities Programme and operated under

license. For a description of the *difx* correlator, see Deller, et al. 2011, PASP, **123**, 275.

### 7<sup>th</sup> IVS General Meeting "Launching the Next-Generation IVS Network" Madrid, Spain, 5–9 March 2012

David Gordon *Contact author:* NVI, Inc./NASA GSFC, Code 698.2 Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA e-mail: David.Gordon-1@nasa.gov