Subdaily station motions from Kalman filtering VLBI data
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Kalman filtering of VLBI data

• Main motivation: real-time analysis of continuous observations
  - VLBI Global Observing System (VGOS): e-VLBI, 24/7 operations
  - FWF project VLBI Analysis in Real-Time (VLBI-ART)

• Main advantage today (post-processing): state based approach
  - Stochastic processes instead of deterministic functions

• Implementation in VieVS@GFZ
  - Kalman filter & smoother
  - Estimation of same geodetic parameters as Vie_LSM
  - Same models and conventions as Vie_LSM
  - Random walk (RW) for most parameters, optional to use integrated RW or first order Gauss-Markov processes

• KF & troposphere: Soja et al. (P3-03)
• KF & EOP: Karbon et al. (P3-11)
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Estimation of station coordinates by KF

- Option to estimate daily values
  - Random walk with process noise set to zero
- Option to force continuity at session borders
  - Prediction from previous session
- Option to allow subdaily motion
  - Process noise ≠ zero
  - Example noise levels (power spectral densities PSD of the driving white noise):
    1. 0.01 cm²/d
    2. 0.1 cm²/d
    3. 1 cm²/d
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Test case: recovery of neglected geophysical displacements (I)

- Switch off displacement models for selected stations
- Exclude them from the datum
- Increase PSD significantly
- KF should recover signals

- Solid Earth tide displacements
  - Example of YEBES40M during CONT14
  - Model itself: RMS of 10.8 cm, peaks of ± 20 cm
  - PSD in the KF set to 100 cm$^2$/d
  - WRMS KF minus IERS model: 1.8 cm
  - 83% successfully recovered
  - Similar performance for other stations
Test case: recovery of neglected geophysical displacements (II)

- **Ocean tide displacements**
  - Example of WARK12M during CONT14
  - Model itself: RMS of 1.9 cm, peaks of ± 4 cm
  - PSD in the KF set to 30 cm²/d
  - WRMS KF minus FES2004 model: 1.6 cm
  - 16% recovered, but phase agrees well

- **Tidal & non-tidal atmosphere loading displacements**
  - Effects too small to recover

- **Hydrology loading displacements**
  - Only monthly models publically available
Subdaily motions in VLBI analysis

Assumptions:
- Applying all loading models except for hydrology and non-tidal ocean loading
- Hydrology and non-tidal ocean loading not relevant on timescales of a few days
- Solid Earth tide correction and Love/Shida numbers accurate enough

→ Variations in estimated coordinates mainly due to:
  1. Deficiencies in tidal ocean and atmosphere loading models
  2. Correlations with troposphere and clock parameters

Different stations, CONT14
Deficiencies in loading models (I)

- Accuracy and reliability of models difficult to assess
- Approach: investigate differences between loading models provided by different institutions

- Ocean tide loading
  - FES2004
  - TPXO 7.2
  - Tested many others, similar results
Deficiencies in loading models (II)

- **Tidal atmosphere loading**
  - University of Luxembourg
  - GSFC

- **Non-tidal atmosphere loading**
  - GSFC
  - GFZ

- **Summation of all differences**
  - ocean & atmosphere
Deficiencies in loading models (III)

- Time series of loading model differences computed
  - for every station of CONT14
- PSD estimated via Allan standard deviation
  - Assuming random walk process
- Map: PSD averaged over radial, east, and north components

- Station average: 0.011 cm²/d
- Maximum at Ny-Ålesund
  - Ocean loading models with large differences
- Average 0.009 cm²/d without Ny-Ålesund
Process noise of station coordinates

- PSD of station coordinates computed from KF solution
  - KF setup with random walk, PSD of $0.1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{d}$
- PSD estimated via Allan standard deviation
  - Assuming random walk process
- Map: PSD averaged over radial, east, and north components

- Station average: $0.019 \text{ cm}^2/\text{d}$
- Compared to PSD from model deficiencies:
  - 2x as large
  - No significant correlations
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Correlations with other parameters

- Correlations between station height, tropospheric delays, clocks
- Separation by aiming for good sky coverage
  - CONT14 with better geometry compared to standard IVS sessions
- KF solution
  - Station coordinate PSD of 0.1 cm$^2$/d
  - ZWD PSD of 17 cm$^2$/d
- Correlations between ZTD and radial component (R):
  - From -0.51 to 0.60, average 0.09
  - Statistically significant (p < 0.05)
Correlations with troposphere (I)

- Possibility in our KF implementation to fix ZWD to that from other solutions or external data
- Four different solutions, example: Wettzell, CONT14
  - Station coordinate PSD always 0.1 cm²/d

1. ZWD estimated (standard)
2. ZWD fixed to KF solution with constant station coordinates
3. ZWD fixed to KF solution with daily station coordinates
4. ZWD fixed to GPS solution
   - 5 min temporal resolution
   - Lu et al. 2015
Correlations with troposphere (II)

- Comparison of ZWD from the different solutions
- Differences w.r.t. KF standard solution
  - VLBI solutions within 5 mm, RMS of 1 mm
  - GPS within 3 cm, RMS of 5 mm
Correlations with troposphere (III)

- **Effect on station coordinates**
- **Differences in radial components**
  - VLBI solutions within 6 mm, RMS of 2.5 mm
  - GPS within 9 mm, RMS of 6 mm
- **Correlations between ZTD & R**
  - KF ZWD solutions: 0.1-0.3
  - GPS ZWD solution: 0.4
  - Statistically significant
Summary

- **Kalman filtering allows to study station displacements** on various timescales by adapting the stochastic model.

- **Residual differences of loading models** may explain about 50% of the estimated variations in station coordinates.
  - In terms of noise level; assumptions could be too optimistic.

- **Correlations with tropospheric delays** found to be significant, impact of up to 1 cm in height.
  - When applying different ZWD solutions from VLBI and GPS.

Outlook

- Compare to external data: GNSS coordinates, gravimetry.
- Estimate empirical subdaily model from residual VLBI time series.
- **Advertise**ment: Kalman filter for VTRF creation.
  - Talk at IUGG 2015 in Prague by Soja et al.
Thanks for your attention!
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