

# Possible Open Time Extragalactic Programmes

## based mainly on the Extragalactic Herschel Open Time (Extra-HOT) Consortium

Alberto Franceschini Padova University



## **Extra-Hot Constitution**

- The aim is to coordinate the formulation of a number of extragalactic key programmes for submission in response to the Herschel Open-Time call for proposals. We hope to provide an environment in which large proposals can be successful. We aim to optimise the scientific effectiveness of the HSO by pooling resources in the planning, preparation, execution and analysis of observing programmes.
- <u>PLANNING</u>: We will ensure that surveys address a wide variety of science goals and are carried out in areas of wide community interest. We will bring a wide variety of theoretical expertise to the optimising of observing plans and use our instrumental expertise to optimise observing modes.
- <u>PREPARATION</u>: We will ensure that the required preparatory data is in place.
- <u>EXECUTION</u>: We will pool resources and expertise in data processing and validation.
- <u>ANALYSIS</u>: We will provide theoretical and observational expertise for rapid exploitation of the Herschel data.
- A secondary goal of this consortium is to provide a common political voice to EU and national funding agencies.

# Leiden October 2005 Meeting Introduction (by S. Eales)

- Founding of the EXTRA-HOT consortium in September 2005 as a vehicle (a) for planning Herschel open-time key projects, (b) pooling resources in the planning, preparation and execution of observing programmes.
- Announcement of Opportunity for open-time key projects will be February 2007. Deadline will be six months later.
- A key project is >100 hours
- Total mission length approximately 3 years. OT will be 2/3 of this.
- EXTRA-HOT is currently open to all astronomers.
- EXTRA-HOT is <u>not</u> the only route by which large open-time proposals will be submitted.
- EXTRA-HOT proposals will only be successful if they are better than proposals from outside the consortium.

# Aims and Objectives of the Meeting

- To define some proto-proposals that meet the science aims of as many members of EXTRA-HOT as possible.
- To generate a plan for how these protoproposals can be transformed into successful OT proposals.
- To ensure that EXTRA-HOT members not at this meeting are kept as fully involved as possible.

Presenter	Title
Steve Eales	Introduction
Seb Oliver	Herschel SPIRE GT Program
Cedric Lacey	Predictions for surveys with HERSCHEL from a CDM model of galaxy evolution
Steve Eales	Herschel Observations of SCUBA2 Legacy Surveys
Eelco van Kampen	Galaxy formation and large-scale structure: What do we want to know?
Dave Clements	A Herschel Survey of High z AGN
Jonathan Davies	Low Level Cold Dust Emission
Dave Clements	Planck & Herschel
Denis Burgarella	When UV meets IR
C. Kramer	A HIFI Key Programme on Nearby Galaxies
C. Gruppioni	A deep Herschel survey in SWIRE-S1
A. Franceschini	A far-IR multiwavelength study of the COSMOS field



## Galaxy formation: what do we want to learn from Herschel?

- The basic stuff: luminosity function, colours, redshift distribution in the 6 passbands
- Environment: clusters vs. filaments vs. field
- Dust mass versus:
  - star formation rate
  - stellar mass (old, young)
  - cold gas mass (clouds, disk)
- What drives (dust-enshrouded) starbursts at z~1 ?
  - mergers (minor/major)
  - interacting galaxies
  - interaction with clusters (their ICM)
- Pairs of dusty (star-bursting ?) galaxies

## Large-scale structure: what do we want to learn from Herschel ?

- The basic stuff: clustering, power spectrum, biasing
- Clustering evolution of sub-mm sources: SPIRE 250 micron (z~1-2) and SCUBA-2 850 micron (z~2-3) have the same angular resolution with similar corresponding physical sizes, but at different redshifts
  - $\rightarrow$  link up with the JCMT legacy survey

## This should not really work for PACS at 175 micron and SCUBA-2 at 450 micron

#### Issues:

- total sample size
- minimum field size
- resolution: resolving 'close' pairs

#### Herschel Observations of SCUBA2 **Extragalactic SCUBA-2 Surveys** Legacy Surveys Two-year plan: v SCUBA-2 is the new submillimetre v 850-micron map of 20 square degrees camera which will be installed on down to a 10-sigma limit of 7 mJy (FWHM = 14 arcsec) - Survey 1 the JCMT in 2006. v 450-micron map of 0.6 square degrees A large fraction of the observing down to a 10-sigma limit of 5 mJy time on SCUBA-2 has already (FWHM = 7 arcsec - Survey 2 been allocated to Legacy surveys v 850-micron map of same 0.6 square degrees down to a 10-sigma limit of 1.5 mJy (Survey 2) **Science Goals** The missing link – the importance of Herschel v Large-scale structure of the submm sky (Survey 1) v Survey 1 will not provide dust v Resolving the submm background at short submm temperatures, and thus bolometric (Survey 2) luminosities will be very uncertain v Search for extreme clusters (Survey 1) (remember, L<sub>bol</sub> is proportional to dust Search for Sunyaev-Zeldovich signals from high-z temperature to the fifth power. clusters (Survey 1) v 95% of SCUBA-2 sources will lie at z>1, Evolution of the luminosity function (Surveys 1 and so SCUBA-2 will not tell us much about 2) the evolution of dusty galaxies during v Cosmic star-formation history (Surveys 1 and 2)

the last eight billion years.

## Herschel follow-up (S. Serjeant)

- More timely for Herschel than Planck ERCSC; astrometry much better
  - Possibility of data analysis synergies with SCUBA-2
- PACS and SPIRE photometry 75-500µm
  - probe the peak of the SEDs, get bolometric luminosities, dust masses, better photo-z
  - exposure times of ~ a few min per source
  - SPIRE FTS/HIFI spectroscopy
    - Best possibility of "blind" redshift determination of sub-mm galaxies should be with SASSy: could try e.g. [CII] (though deficient in local ULIRGs). Other (non-HSO) redshift survey programmes will also be in progress.
    - Aim is to use atomic line species (e.g. C<sup>+</sup>, O<sup>++</sup>, N<sup>+</sup>) and molecules (e.g. OH, H<sub>2</sub>O) to probe SFR, density and temperature of ISM, radiation field, etc, constrained by reference to photoionisation and PDR models
  - Key diagnostics: atomic fine structure lines (CII, OI, OIII etc) and molecular lines (OH, H<sub>2</sub>O etc). Also, for brightest sources, SIII (33μm) and OI (63μm) may be detectable with PACS spectrometer.
  - R=20 SPIRE FTS spectrum: approx. 1 hour per source



## Herschel follow-up of SCUBA-2 and ASTRO-F All-Sky Surveys

Mark Thompson (University of Hertfordshire) 

Stephen Serjeant (University of Kent) 

Harold Burber (JAC) 

Antonio 

Chrysostomou (University of Hertfordshire) 
Dave Clements (Imperial College London) 

Jim Collett (University of Hertfordshire) 
Kristen Coppin (UBC) 

Lain Coulson (JAC) 
Bill Dent (UKATC) 

Frossie Economou (JAC) 
Nye Evans 
(Keele University) 
Per Friberg (JAC) 

Gary Fuller (University of Manchester) 
Andrew John (JAC) 

Mike Hudson (University of Kandrews) 

Hugh Jones (University of Hertfordshire) 

Material 

College London) 

Mike Hudson (University of Hertfordshire) 

John Knapen (University of Hertfordshire) 
Johan Knapen (University of Hertfordshire) 

Hugh Jones (University of

Johan Independent of State Control Control Control Control (SPC) - Door Har (HAV/NRC Canada) + Toby Moore (Liverscol John Moores University) - Ar (Cardiff University) - Michele Pestalozzi (University of Hertfordshire) - Ale of Cambridge) - Douglas Scott (UBC) - Russell Shipman (SRON/Kaptey London) - Ludovic Van Waerbeke (UBC) - Serena Viti (University College L (University of Kent/Open University/RAL) - Jan Wouterloot (JAC) - Ming

# KENTSASSy: SCUBA-2UNIVERSITY OF KENTAll-Sky Survey

- Response to call for JCMT legacy surveys: awarded 1500 hours over five years from semester 2007A
- 5σ 150mJy at 850μm, triple scanning redundancy
  - can use fainter limit (e.g.  $3\sigma$  90mJy) for known objects
- Three phases
  - Pilot phase: two 10 degree wide strips
    - P2P strip NEP Galactic Pole Galactic Plane
    - galactic plane strip
  - ALMA sky -30?δ?+40
  - "All" sky -30?δ?+70 (extended JCMT operations)
- Rapid data release to JCMT (then wider?) community emulate the spirit of the IRAS mission

### Herschel follow-up

- More timely for Herschel than Planck ERCSC; astrometry much better
- Possibility of data analysis synergies with SCUBA-2
- PACS and SPIRE photometry 75-500μm
  - probe the peak of the SEDs, get bolometric luminosities, dust masses, better photo-z
  - exposure times of ~ a few min per source
- SPIRE FTS/HIFI spectroscopy
  - Best possibility of "blind" redshift determination of sub-mm galaxies should be with SASSy: could try e.g. [CII] (though deficient in local ULIRGs). Other (non-HSO) redshift survey programmes will also be in progress.
  - Aim is to use atomic line species (e.g. C<sup>+</sup>, O<sup>++</sup>, N<sup>+</sup>) and molecules (e.g. OH, H<sub>2</sub>O) to probe SFR, density and temperature of ISM, radiation field, etc, constrained by reference to photoionisation and PDR models
  - Key diagnostics: atomic fine structure lines (CII, OI, OIII etc) and molecular lines (OH,  $H_2O$  etc). Also, for brightest sources, SIII (33µm) and OI (63µm) may be detectable with PACS spectrometer.
  - R=20 SPIRE FTS spectrum: approx. 1 hour per source



Predicted integral source counts per square degree at 550  $\mu$ m. The solid line sinking down at 100mJy and the dashed line show the counts of unlensed and strongly lensed protospheroidal galaxies, respectively (Granato et al. 2004). The other solid line gives the sum of counts of starburst and spiral galaxies, the radio galaxies (mostly blazars). The effect of lensing on populations other than proto-spheroidal galaxies has been neglected.

#### Planck and Herschel

(Dave Clements)

- Planck will be launched at the same time as Herschel
- Goal is CMB observations
- But will at the same time produce all-sky survey at 9 frequencies:
  - 30, 44, 70, 100, 143, 217, 353, 545, 857 GHz
- Will produce maps and point source catalogs in all these

#### Planck and Herschel Synergy

- Planck can provide sources for followup by Herschel
  - Eg. luminous high z galaxies, outbursting BL Lacs or galactic mini-quasars
- Herschel can provide higher resolution complementary images to aid Planck data analysis
  - Eg. component separation

#### Planck Data Release Policy

- The Early Release Compact Source Catalog
  - Based on first Planck all-sky coverage
  - Due out 22 months after launch
  - Will not be as deep, accurate or reliable as final catalog
- Full survey complete 22 months after launch
  - 1 year for 'final' processing, 1 year proprietary
  - Final catalog to public 46 months after launch

#### Planck Related Projects for Herschel

- Followup of candidate high z sources
  - Select by colour from ERCSC possibly using additional databases (eg. IRAS)
  - Get extra photometry, better astrometry, possibly spectroscopy with Herschel
- Observing submm bright AGN with Herschel -BL Lac, GPS, non-thermal
  - Sources found or confirmed by Planck
- TOO of AGN detected with Planck quick alert system
  - Special software to search Planck timelines for outbursting sources

# <u>H-COSMOS</u>: A FAR-INFRARED MULTIWAVELENGTH SURVEY IN THE COSMOS FIELD

[Proposed as Key Project for the Herschel Open Time]

Current proponents: A. Franceschini et al.



- COSMOS is an HST Treasury project to survey a 2 square degrees equatorial field with the ACS HST camera.
- It is the largest survey that HST has ever undertaken, utilizing 10% (640 orbits) of the HST observing time over the course of 2 years.
- The project also incorporates major committments from other observatories around the world, including the VLA radiotelescope, ESO's VLT in Chile, ESA's XMM X-ray observatory, the Spitzer Space Telescope, and Japan 8m Subaru telescope in Hawaii.
- The COSMOS collaboration involves ~100 astronomers n a dozen countries.
- http://www.astro.caltech.edu/~cosmos/index.html





## Available multiwavelength data in the COSMOS field

- from space:
  - Herschel PACS, SPIRE Guaranteed-Time 110, 170, 250, 350, 500 μm
  - Spitzer IRAC 3.6, 4.5, 5.6, 8 µm
  - Spitzer MIPS 24, 70, 160 μm
  - HST/ACS I-band
  - GALEX far-UV, near-UV
  - XMM 1.5 Msec
- ground based:
  - Subaru SCam B,V,r',i',z'
  - NOAO K-band
  - UKIRT J,H band
  - VLA 20 cm
  - IRAM 1.2mm, LABOCA/APEX 850 µm
  - VISTA z,Y,J,H,K
  - VIMOS/VLT optical spectroscopy for more than 50000 galaxies/AGNs.

Data	Bands A.Res.	AB mag $5\sigma$ pt. src	status	Investigators/Time
Spitzer-MIPS	160,70,24µm	0.15 mJy(50)	Р	Sanders et al.
Spitzer-IRAC	8,6,4.5,3µm	$11.9.3.2 \mu Jy(5\sigma)$	Р	Sanders et al.
HST-ACS	814I	27	C/U	C12-13 581 o
HST-ACS	475g	27	С	C1290
HST-ACS	475g	27	Р	C14-15 590 o
HST-NIC3	160W	22.9(5% area)	C/U	C12-13 590 o
HST-NIC3	160W	22.9(5% area)	Р	C14-15 590 o
HST-WFPC2	300W	25.4	С	C12-13 590 o
HST-WFPC2	450W	26.4	Р	C14-15 590 o
Subaru-SCam	<b>B</b> , <b>V</b> , <b>r</b> , <b>i</b> , <b>z</b>	27 - 26	С	Taniguchi et al. 10 n
Subaru-SCam	7 IB filters	26	U	Taniguchi et al. 8 n, Scoville 3 n
Subaru-SCam	NB816	25	U	Taniguchi et al. 8n
CFHT-Megacam	u *	27	С	Sanders et al. 24 hr
CFHT-Megacam	u,i*	26	С	LeFevre et al. 12 hr
CFHT-LS	u-z	-	U	Deep LS Survey
NOAO	Ks	21	C/U	Mobasher et al. 18 n
CFHT	K	23 (9×9_)	U	Sanders et al. 3n
UH-88	J	21	C/U	Sanders et al. 10 n
GALEX	FUV,NUV	26.1,25.8	С	Schminovich et al. 200 ks
XMM-EPIC	0.5 - 10 keV	10-15 cgs	C/U	Hasinger et al. 1.4 Ms
CXO	0.5 - 7 keV	pointed	F	Elvis et al.
VLT-VIMOS sp.	(R=200)	#=3000 (LAB <23)	С	Kneib et al. 20 hr
VLT-VIMOS sp.	(R=200,600)	#=45000 (Las <25, z≥0.8)	U	Lilly et al. 540 hr
MagIMAX sp.	(R=3000)	#=2000	U	Impey, McCarthy, Elvis 12 n
Keck/GEMINI sp.	(R=5,000)	#=4000 (I<24)	U/F	Team Members
IRAM-30m	1.2 mm	1 mJy (20×20_)	U	Bertoldi et al. 94 hr
CSO-Bolocam	1.1 mm	3 mJy	U	Blain et al. 40 n
JCMT-SCUBA2	450,850µm	??, 7?	F	Legacy Trinational Survey
VLA-A	20cm	$24\mu Jy(1\sigma)$	С	Schinnerer et al. 10 hr
VLA-A/C	20cm	$8\mu Jy(1\sigma)$	C/U	Schinnerer et al. 265 hr
SZA(full field)	9 mm	S-Z to 2 × 1014M	U	Carlstrom et al. 2 mth

Table 1:	Expected	I Numbers	of Objects in	n COSMOS 2	2-deg <sup>2</sup> Field
Class	#	IAB (10 <i>o</i> ))	IRAC-4.5um	MIPS-24um	Reference
All objects	1.9×10 <sup>6</sup>	< 27	3×10 <sup>5</sup>	30,000	COSMOS-Subaru
XMM-AGN	3400	27	3000	3000	based on Lockman Hole
XMM-clusters	~120	$5 \times 10^{-17}$ cgs	~120	~100 ?	COSMOS XMM
strong lens systems	60-80	-	60-80 ?	?	Fassnacht et al. 2004
VIMOS Gal.w/ Spectra	$\sim 5 \times 10^4$	I≤25	$\sim 5 \times 10^4$	~ 10 <sup>4</sup>	COSMOS-VIMOS, Kneib et al.
Optically-selected QSOs	600(100)	24(21)	600	150	Croom et al. 2001
Optically-selected z > 4 QSOs	50	25	50	>10	Cristiani et al. 2004
ULIRGs	3,000	26	3,000	3,000	Smail et al. 2002
ExtremelyRedObjects	25,000	25	20,000	>3,000 ?	Daddi et al. 2000, Smith 2002
LymanBreakGalaxies (z≤2)	65,000	25.5	40,000	>1,000	Steidel et al. 2004
LymanBreakGalaxies (z~3)	10,000	25.5	>7,000	>500 ?	Shapley et al. 2001
Red high-z Galaxies $(z > 2)$	10,000	25.5	>3,000	1,000	Labb'e et al. 2003
L,T Dwarfs	300(<200 pc)	28(4 <i>o</i> )	300	50	Burgasser et al. 2002
Asteroids	~180		~ 180	?	Meadows et al. 2004
KuiperBeltObjects	100-250	27	100-250	>50	Jewitt (private comm.)

... but size large enough for a fantastic sampling of even very rare source populations ...

see recent first set of papers released on astro/ph

# H-COSMOS Survey Alternatives (cnt.) (the inner 1 sq. degree)

Name	PACS Time	SPIRE Time	Total Time	70	110	170	250	350	500
-	hr	hr		mJy	mJy	mJy	mJy	mJy	mJy
H-COSMOS-1	500	150	575	6.0	3.3	3.5	8.0	9.7	11.
	I		- L						
	I		F						

H-COSMOS-1: 500 all PACS 110 μm H-COSMOS-2: 500 all PACS 70 μm H-COSMOS-3: 500 PACS 70 + 500 PACS 110 μm H-COSMOS-4: 1000 all PACS 70 μm

## Time - PACS SPIRE H-COSMOS (Spitzer Depths)

## **Predicted z-distributions for flux-limited samples**





#### **Galaxy clusters**

What for ?

- use as lenses to find high-z sources (GT)
- Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect
- cluster dust (in the ICM)
- cluster galaxies
- infalling galaxies
- merging clusters

#### Eelco van Kampen

#### **Galaxy clusters**

Michael Balogh

Large sample of matched clusters at z~0.5 and z~1 (or higher ?)

Main science goal: evolution of dusty star formation in dense environments

Two issues: - cluster selection Mass selection would be ideal (RCS sample ?)

X-ray selection ?

- number density

- The present aim is to survey 30 clusters, ten each at z=0.4, 0.8 and 1.2 with the aim of investigating the cosmic evolution of infall/star formation in rich environments.
- This programme would complement the GT cluster programme, which is aimed at lensing.
- The cluster sample should be selected to have the highest masses. Ideally this would be done using the new SZ surveys, but in practice it would probably have to be done using a mixture of optical samples (EDISCs/RCS) and X-ray surveys (XMM serendiptiy survey).
- This working group may propose a Spitzer programme in February aimed at obtaining 24-micron observations of a preliminary sample.

#### A Herschel Survey of High z AGN

Dave Clements Imperial College Kate Isaak Cardiff University Bernhard Schulz IPAC Alain Omont IAP Et al...

#### How to study the Universe

- Two ways to examine the distant universe
   Blind surveys
  - Targeted pointings at known high z objects
- Pros and cons
  - Targeted surveys are potentially biased
    - Need to control bias, and understand relationship of the objects targeted to the broader population
  - Blind surveys have substantial problems for followup
    - May know there's something there, but redshift, nature, connection to broader population can be difficult to assess

#### What can we learn from AGN?

- Studies of individual objects
  - SEDs, individual content and history, role of star formation...
- Studies of well-defined samples over large redshft range
  - Population statistics and evolution
  - Connection to other populations
- Studies of local environments
   Clustering, size of DM clumps, broader role in evolution
- NB Even the largest 'large area survey' will be too small to include enough AGN to say anything about their population and evolution

### Why Herschel?

- Submm studies to date have been successful, and shown that dust plays a significant role in AGN
- BUT cannot determine bolometric luminosity, dust temperatre, mass, properties, role of hot AGN-heated dust
- Need shorter wavelength Herschel channels to do this
  - Cannot be done from ground

# An obvious scientific case for cosmological surveys with Herschel

- Exploring a new territory for cosmology
- Observations needed to measure bolometric luminosities of cosmic sources at high-z
- Essential for determining SFR's, BH accretion rates, etc.
- To identify the most active "cosmic sites" at any redshifts



(Rowan-Robinson et al. 2005; Polletta et al. 2006)

#### Science Goals

- Star formation & dust content as a function of z in AGN
  - Need range of redshifts
- Star formation & Dust as function of AGN type
  - Need range of types, luminosities etc.
- Triggered star formation and environment
- Need large enough samples to be statistically valid

#### Sensitivities Needed

- 10-20mJy sensitivity in PACS and SPIRE bands will be fine
  - Ultimate sensitivity set by confusion limit
  - Individual integration times quite short (10-15 mins)
- Map ~4'x4' region (SPIRE jiggle map) for imaging targets to similar snesitivity
- 127 hours total needed

#### The Proposal

- Obtain PACS/SPIRE photometry for a consistent sample of AGN
  - Probe range of redshifts, (2, 4, >5) to examine evolution
  - Probe range of luminosity
  - Range of quasar type (optically selected, BAL, x-ray selected)
  - 25 in each class (175 for photmetric part)
- Image 9 in each class for environment study (63 total)



#### Low Level Cold Dust Emission Jonathan Davies, Maarteen Baas and Steve Eales





<u>Understanding the total dust content and spatial</u> <u>distribution of dust is an essential part of many</u> <u>models:</u>

- Dust may act as a tracer of a much more substantial cold molecular component, that could help explain the missing mass problem (Valentijn, 1990, Valentijn and van der Werf, 1999, Lequeux et al. 1993, Gerhard and Silk 1996).
- Influence on the many determinations of the star formation history of the Universe (Madau et al., 1996).
- 3. May even affect our view of the distant Universe.



Dust 'leaking' out of the disc of NGC891 (Howk and Savage 1997). Radiation pressure driven dust loss is discussed in Davies et al. 1998.

It is not difficult to believe that dust may reside at large distances from the disc.

#### The Observations

For SPIRE position the 4'x8' detector with the longer side along the major axis from the centre outwards in both directions giving 28 resolution elements across the galaxy even at the longest wavelength.

This will correspond to about twice the optical radius. From our ISO observations we need to reach about 10 mJ per beam which at 3 sigma should take about 1 hour.

Thus we require about 34 hours on source with SPIRE. We suspect that a similar amount of time will be required with PACS.





#### When UV meets IR

- UV & IR are two facets of Star Formation
- Cosmologically speaking, looking at the IR side is better that looking at the UV side (Fig 1).
- However, to understand galaxies and their Star Formation History, it is better to also possess the UV side.
- Furthermore, the amount of information harvested from the rest-frame UV side has always been larger (e.g. deeper spectroscopy, higher angular resolution: <u>Fig 2</u>).
- Finally, it is possible that most high redshift objects have a UV side and an IR side (e.g. the same are seen on both sides and UV luminous Galaxies are also Luminous IR Galaxies: Fig 3)



#### Denis Burgarella

#### LAM Extra-HOT



#### Proto - Proposal (or Section of)

- Higher level science objective: better understand the formation and the evolution of galaxies by a multi-wavelength approach:
- Study the Star Formation rate of two samples selected in rest-frame Ultraviolet (GALEX, 10-m class telescopes, HST) and in rest-frame Infrared (Herschel) and sum them up to get the total Star Formation.
- Make use of additional information in the optical to build UV-to-FIR Spectral Energy Distributions (SEDs) and, by a comparison with models/templates, determine their Star Formation History.
- Make us of 10-m classe telescopes and HST to estimate their morphology / dynamics / abundances
- **Targets:** Deep imaging of already observed fields (usual ones such as CDFS, ELAIS, etc.) to detect individual galaxies up to the highest redshifts

#### Wavelength Regime: rest-frame IR (dust emission)

14/12/2006 14:59:31

Extra HOT Meeting - Denis Burgarella OAMP/LAM

# **Open Time Programmes**

- 1. PACS/SPIRE Deep Survey of the COSMOS / CDFS / ELAIS S1 Field(s)
  - Deepen the PACS/SPIRE surveys to the confusion limit
  - Comprehensive investigation of galaxy evolution at z < 1.5
  - Coordinator: E. Bell, MPIA
- 2. SPIRE (+ PACS ?) Survey of SCUBA-2/LABOCA Legacy Survey Fields
  - Complement the GT confusion-limited SPIRE survey
  - Comprehensive investigation of star-formation/AGN activity as a function of environment and redshift
  - Coordinator: E. Bell, MPIA
- 3. Large-Area Shallow Survey
  - Few x 100 sq. deg. to ~ 100 mJy 5s
  - Strongly lensed sources (halo mass function, dark energy)
  - High-z clustering
  - Rare objects
  - Planck foregrounds
  - Coordinator: G. de Zotti, Padova

# **Open Time Programmes**

- 4. High-redshift AGNs
  - Sample of AGN over a wide range of luminosity in a narrow redshift slice at z = 1
  - Coordinator: M. Jarvis, Oxford
- 5. High-Mass Cluster Survey
  - Survey 30 high-mass clusters; z = 0.4 1.2
  - Evolution of infall/star formation in rich environments.
  - Coordinator: E. Van Kampen, Innsbruck
- 6. Herschel Survey of Local-Universe Activity (HERLOGA)
  - AGNs vs. Starbursts as tracers for high-z FIR galaxy formation and evolution
  - ULIRG power sources

Coordinator: L. Spinoglio, INAF-IFSI, Roma

# **Open Time Programmes**

- 8. Follow-up of Astro-F/SCUBA-2 Sources
  - Coordinator: S. Serjeant, Kent
- 9. SPIRE/FTS Surveys of the high-z Universe
  - Coordinator: D. Rigopoulou, Oxford
- **10. Extended dust around nearby galaxies and intracluster dust** 
  - Coordinator: J. Davies, Cardiff
- **11. Dust in Ellipticals** 
  - Coordinator: M. Stickel, MPIA
- **12. HIFI Programme on Nearby Galaxies** 
  - Coordinator: C. Kramer, Cologne





Next EXTRA-HOT meeting in connection with the February 20-21 ESTEC Meeting on the Herschel OT Key Programs

## **Extra-HOT** main web page:

http://astronomy.sussex.ac.uk/~sjo/extrahot/

#### Subscribing to Extra-HOT

Subscribe to Extra-HOT by filling out the following form. You will be sent email requesting confirmation, to prevent others from gratuitously subscribing you. This is a private list, which means that the list of members is not available to non-members.

Your email address:	
Vournama	

Your name (optional):

You may enter a privacy password below. This provides only mild security, but should prevent others from messing with your subscription. **Do not use a valuable password** as it will occasionally be emailed back to you in cleartext.

If you choose not to enter a password, one will be automatically generated for you, and it will be sent to you once you've confirmed your subscription. You can always request a mail-back of your password when you edit your personal options. Once a month, your password will be emailed to you as a reminder.

Pick a password:	
Reenter password to confirm:	
Which language do you prefer to display your messages?	English (USA)
Would you like to receive list mail batched in a daily digest?	• No O Yes
daily digest?	Subscribe



Resolving the strongest extragalactic astrophysical background (60% to  $S_{170}=4$  mJy, 70% to  $S_{110}=4$  mJy)