

Helmholtz Centre Potsdam **GFZ GERMAN RESEARCH CENTRE** FOR GEOSCIENCES

Applying Kalman filtering to investigate tropospheric effects in VLBI analysis

Benedikt Soja¹, T. Nilsson¹, M. Karbon¹, K. Balidakis¹, C. Lu¹, J. Anderson¹, S. Glaser^{1,2}, L. Liu¹, J. Mora-Diaz¹, V. Raposo-Pulido¹, M. Xu¹, R. Heinkelmann¹, H. Schuh^{1,2}

¹GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany; ²Technische Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany Contact: bsoja@gfz-potsdam.de

Introduction

Conclusions

- Troposphere: one of the most important error sources for space geodetic techniques
- Currently not possible to model water vapor sufficiently, therefore ZWD estimated in VLBI analysis
- Usually least squares method (LSM) with piece-wise linear functions used
- This work: Kalman filter (KF, e.g. Herring et al., 1990) Advantages: real time capability, state based approach

Kalman filter

10 ̃_F

- Implemented into VieVS@GFZ
- Possibility to estimate the same parameters as LSM
- ZWD modeled as random walk process
- KF processing strategy: forward + backward + smoothing **VLBI** data
- CONT campaigns between 2002 and 2014

2 ZWD noise characterization

- ZWD time series of all CONT campaigns and all stations
- Allan standard deviation (ASD): ZWD like random walk (Fig. 1)
- Power spectral densities (PSD) from ASD: Fig. 2
- PSD changes over time: Fig. 3

- Average **ZWD noise decreases** during the last CONT campaigns
- **KF performs better than LSM** in terms of baseline length and station position repeatabilities (improvement of 3-7%) & compared to ZWD from external datasets (>10%).
- **Station dependent ZWD noise improves** repeatabilities by 2-3%; strongest impact in height component

4 Comparison of ZWD

- Water vapor radiometer (WVR) ZWD every 6-51 sec available for Onsala (CONT11 - *Fig.* 7 & CONT14) and Tsukuba (CONT11)
- GPS ZWD every 5 min available for Onsala & Wettzell (*Fig.* 8) during CONT14
- Linearly interpolated VLBI solutions to GPS/WVR epochs to compute differences (averaged over all stations: **Tab. 1**)
- KF shows smaller standard deviations w.r.t. WVR (11%) and GPS (13%) compared to LSM

----- KF



www.gfz-potsdam.de

0.6

3 Effects on station coordinates

Baseline length (*Fig.* 4) and station coordinate repeatabilities (Figs. 5 & 6) Three different solutions: #1 KF solution with station based ZWD PSD (see Fig. 2) #2 KF solution with average ZWD PSD #3 LSM solution (ZWD@20 min) Best result with solution #1: 2-3% improvement vs. #2, 3-7% vs. #3



Reference:

Herring, T.A., Davis, J.L., Shapiro, I.I.: Geodesy by radio interferometry: The application of Kalman Filtering to the analysis of very long baseline interferometry data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 95(B8), 12561–12581 (1990). doi:10.1029/JB095iB08p12561 Soja, B., Nilsson, T., Karbon, M., Zus, F., Dick, G., Deng, Z., Wickert, J., Heinkelmann, R., Schuh, H.: Tropospheric delay determination by Kalman filtering VLBI data. Earth, Planets and Space (2015, submitted).

Acknowledgements:

The authors would like to thank the IVS for observing, correlating and providing the VLBI data used in this work. Benedikt Soja works under project VLBI-ART (P 24187-N21), funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF).

ELMHOLTZ ASSOCIATION